

NEW-YORK DAILY TRIBUNE, SATURDAY, JANUARY 26, 1878.—WITH SUPPLEMENT.

THE COURTS.

SUIT ON A TRUSTEE'S BOND.

Judge Van Brunt, in Supreme Court Circuit, Part III, yesterday rendered a decision in favor of the plaintiff in the suit of Samuel E. H. Wright against the Third Avenue Savings Bank and James Richard Kelly, M. C. Kent, and others, trustees of the bank, who signed a bond for \$10,000, to enable the bank to tide over its difficulties. All the trustees signed similar bonds for various amounts. This suit is brought to recover \$12,145.60, the amount of the bond with interest. The defense was want of consideration.

CIVIL NOTES.

The suit of George L. Kent against the Gold River Mining Company has been transferred to Dutchess County, by consent of the parties to the action.

Judge J. F. Daily yesterday granted a decree of absolute divorce in the suit of Isaac H. Block against Leah Block, the particulars of which were printed in THE TRIBUNE some time ago.

A decision was rendered by Judge Van Hoosen in the Court of Common Pleas, yesterday, in the case of Desmond against Kervis and others. The defendants were conserving their rights, and the court adjourned the trial to a subsequent date.

The plaintiff, a lady, fell into the hole, receiving severe injuries, and brought suit for \$20,000. The court gave her \$2,500.

CRIMINAL NOTES.

John A. Walker, a clerk employed by James Conlon, of No. 88 Greenwich-ave., collected \$25 for his employer, but only returned \$15. He was arrested and yesterday, after being held over, was sentenced to 10 years.

Moritz Weiss, of No. 33 Orchard-st., was charged yesterday at the Essex Market Police Court, by Barthold Geistman, of No. 80 Canal-st., with having obtained from him, fraudulently, jewelry of the value of \$70. He pretended to have customers for the goods, and promised to return them or the money within a few days. But was not in sight.

James Conlin Murray, of No. 112 West Twenty-second-st., and two men whose names are unknown, called on Mrs. Ellen Cassidy, at No. 210 West Twenty-seventh-st., about 4 o'clock yesterday morning, and, after rousing her, forced her to give up \$700. They also took \$150. Cogan was the only one arrested, and he was held, yesterday, in \$500 bail, by Justice Dwyer.

COURT OF APPEALS.

DECISIONS, PROCEEDINGS AND CALENDAR.

ALBANY, N. Y., Jan. 25.—In this Court of Appeals, the Justices sat, and heard arguments in several applications to modify the rules and regulations in relation to the admission of attorneys, etc. The court has had many applications to modify the rules, and the applicants who were at the time of the adoption of the present rules in attendance upon the law schools of the state as well as those who have passed. Their studies at law schools have been admitted to the bar in other states, and have, without notice of any change of the rules of admission, been admitted to practice in this state, and have been granted a license to practice in this state with little difficulty, and the application is therefore denied.

Proceedings—No. 49.—Young as Hammett, Attorneys for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by A. D. Vinton and P. Belmont, for appellants; A. P. Tracy and Wm. G. Law, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 50.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 51.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 52.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 53.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 54.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 55.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 56.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 57.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 58.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 59.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 60.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 61.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 62.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 63.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 64.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 65.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 66.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 67.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 68.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 69.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 70.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 71.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 72.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 73.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 74.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 75.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 76.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 77.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 78.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 79.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 80.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 81.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 82.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 83.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 84.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 85.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 86.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 87.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 88.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 89.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 90.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 91.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 92.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 93.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 94.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 95.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 96.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 97.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 98.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 99.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 100.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 101.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 102.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 103.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 104.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 105.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 106.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 107.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 108.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 109.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No. 20—Law Act, Harmony. Argued by C. E. Deane, and E. C. Deane, for appellants; C. F. McLean, for respondents.

Proceedings—No. 110.—John H. Denton, of Newark, for Plaintiff in Error, and for the State, in cause No.